

2696

September 30, 2009

Form letters M

RECEIVED

SEP 30 19 11 57

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,
Name: Judith H Fowl

Title: _____

Address: 335 S Monroe St
Media, PA 19063

2696

September 30, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RECEIVED

709 OCT 19 AM 10 56

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,
Name: June Clifford Title: A Concerned Parent
Address: B Watersville Rd Wallingford, PA 19086

2696

September 30, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RECEIVED

SEP 30 10 41 AM '09

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,

Name Carolynne S. Glover
Address: 306 South Avenue

Title: Dr. of Educ.

2696

September 30, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:56

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,

Name: Charles S. Torres Title: _____
Address: 500 N. Lemon St, Media, PA 19063

2696

September 30, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:55

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,

Name

Patricia A. Corbin

Title:

Address:

10 Beechwood Rd, Parkerside, PA 19015-3209

2696

September 30, 2009

RECEIVED
OCT 19 AM 10:55
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,

Name: Mary L. Gabol Title: Taxpayer
Address: 281 W. Bishop Naylor Rd.
Media PA 19063

Mary L. Gabol

2696

September 30, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:55

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

Provisions of Regulation No. 006-312 are more stringent than federal standards in that they require students to pass six high stakes exams in order to graduate. Federal standards do not endorse the withholding of the diploma based on high stakes tests.

As a result, the regulation will put Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage. An example is Maryland. Maryland requires students to take four high stakes tests as a step to graduation, however, that state reports that 30% of its students are allowed to graduate without passing those tests. The research is clear. High school graduates make substantially more money than people who leave high school with no diploma. They pay more taxes; participate more in the political process; and live longer, healthier lives.

As written, Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312 creates a threat to the health, safety and welfare of all citizens of the Commonwealth. In states where the high school diploma has been attached to student scores on high stakes tests, there has been an increase in the drop out rates as well as an increase in the incarceration rates (Pew Center: Report on the States 2008).

It is generally reported and rigorously documented that high school drop outs are financially immobilized with the annual earning capacity of an estimated \$19,000. They experience shorter lives than persons with a high school diploma due to the lack of health care. They live in poverty and cannot sustain themselves. They must access public assistance for food and shelter. They must depend on emergency room medical treatment. It costs tax payers billions of dollars when students are pushed out of high school with no diploma.

It is well documented that incarceration rates correlate highly with leaving school without a diploma. Health officials site prisons as breeding grounds for communicable diseases. Men especially are known to take such diseases as Hepatitis C and HIV from prison into the community.

Based upon the facts that Reg. No. 006-312 is more stringent than federal standards, that it puts Pennsylvania at a competitive disadvantage, and creates the potential for increased risks to society; please vote to disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,

Name Mary L. Gabel
Title Mary L. Gabel Taxpayer
Street 281 W. Bishop Hollow Rd
City, State, Zip Medica PA 19063
Phone 601.566.7890

2696

September 30, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:55

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,

Name: Amy Kamobi Title: Tax Payer
Address: 1130 Muhlenberg Ave Swathmore Pa 19687

2696

September 30, 2009

Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
333 Market Street, 14th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
irrc@irrc.state.pa.us

RECEIVED

2009 OCT 19 AM 10:55

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: IRRC ISSUE # 2696
State Board of Education Final-Form Reg. No. 006-312
Chapter 4 Regulations – “Keystone Exams”

Dear Mr. Coccodrilli:

This letter is to oppose the State Board of Education (Board) Final-Form Regulation No. 006-312 which would revise the current Chapter 4 regulations and establish the “Keystone Exams”. Among a number of concerns about Regulation No. 006-312 are the following.

The State Board of Education fails to demonstrate the need for this regulation. The PA Department of Education has announced the success of the current testing system, the PSSA, and explained to Pennsylvanians the academic improvements made by basic education students across the state.

The State Board of Education claims that new regulations are needed because many districts have failed to align instruction to the State Standards. They site finding from research begun in September 2008 to support this claim. However, it is unclear that the Penn State study was completed. Districts claim that it was not. Hence, no meaningful information can be drawn from it. While at the same time, state data does show the growth in student achievement.

Clearly, given student improvement, the claim cannot be made that a failure to align local assessment with state standards warrants hundreds of millions of dollars in new tests. However, if this is a valid concern, the Department of Education can address and support district alignment without new regulations. As established in Regulation No. 006-312, the “Keystone Exams” themselves are optional. The Regulations allow districts with the funds to do so to create various alternative forms of assessments and have them validated with state support at 50% of the cost. As alternate forms of assessment are considered viable by the Board; the Department of Education could complete the Penn State study and use the information on local assessments to provide technical assistance for Districts to improve those without enacting new regulations. There is no need for new regulations.

The State Board of Education fails to explain how districts are expected to implement the new regulations or why the Board believes this approach is reasonable. Over time, 10 “end of course” tests will be required. To allow time for scheduling school resources, students make course selection around February. “End of course” exams will have to be taken in the late spring. There is no way to predict how much will be required in the way of rescheduling and reassignment of professional staff, or other school resources based on student performance on the assessments.

Cut off scores on tests are arbitrary decisions that are monitored and adjusted to control results. Students who miss the cut off scores and who seek remediation and retesting in multiple areas face the possibility of a 5 or 6 year high school experience. It is unreasonable to place this systematic, official intimidation of stringent barriers to the high school diploma on students and the families of Pennsylvania.

Because the State Board has failed to demonstrate the need for or reasonableness of Regulation No. 006-312, I request that the IRRC disapprove the final form regulations.

Yours truly,

Name

Lynne Elliott

Title:

Citizen

Address:

*529 Edgewood Circle
MediA PA 19063*